

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,675 10/11/2000		Feng Qian	00-088	5728
24319	24319 7590 12/24/2003		EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIO	CORPORATION	AHN, S	AHN, SAM K	
1621 BARB MS: D-106		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CA 95035	2634	•	
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003	, Ó

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	L A L'			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/686,675	QIAN, FENG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sam K. Ahn	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 October 2000</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 21	b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 October 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78. <ol> <li>The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ol> </li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO-948)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: .							

Application/Control Number: 09/686,675 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to because Fig.3 illustrates connection of blocks without the use of arrows. For example, in block 250, one cannot comprehend from the drawing alone as to what the next step is, whether it is going to or from 260 and 270, and so on. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 12, the Office suggests deleting " --- a first possible input bit --- " and inserting " --- a first possible input data bit --- ", wherein claims 2-5 directly depend on claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,675

Art Unit: 2634

Page 3

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the first encoder state" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, wherein claims 2-5 directly depend on claim 1.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and claim objection, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Present application discloses a method of decoding transmitted data using partial traceback. For the purpose of saving memory space, applicants discloses a method of initially performing traceback through all the time steps. Then, a partial traceback is performed starting from a second time step. When comparison between the first

Art Unit: 2634

and second encoder state are equivalent, the L-1 data bits are stored as valid. Closest prior art, Czaja et al. ('392) teaches Viterbi decoder with L=2 best decoding paths wherein partial or full traceback is performed. Czaja teaches functioning partial traceback beginning at x, then, it begins at x+1 through 1, and x+2 to 2, etc. (note col.8, lines 27-34) However, Czaja does not teach wherein comparison of the first and second encoder state being equivalent, the L-1 data bits are stored as valid. Therefore, prior art does not teach or suggest all of the limitations claimed.

## Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Miyauchi et al. teach a Viterbi decoder with a memory device performing traceback function.

Miller et al. teach a trellis decoder comprising a traceback memory.

Sasagawa and Kamada teach Viterbi decoder device with memory space and power reduction.

Hatakeyama et al. teach Viterbi decoder with traceback function.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Sam Ahn** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-0754**.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4714**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Sam K. Ahn 12/8/03

YOUNG T. TSE PRIMARY EXAMINER